

The University of Leeds
EXTERNAL EXAMINER'S REPORT
ACADEMIC YEAR: 2010– 2011

PART A: GENERAL INFORMATION*Subject area and awards being examined:*

School of: Modern Languages and Cultures	Subject(s):
Programme(s) / Module(s): BSL/English Interpreting Conference Interpreting	awards: PG Cert/Pg Dip/MA

The completed report should be attached to an e-mail and sent as soon as possible, and no later than 6 weeks after the relevant meeting of the Board of Examiners, to exexadmin@leeds.ac.uk.

Alternatively you can post your report to:

**Head of Academic Quality and Standards,
Academic Quality and Standards Team,
Room 12:81, EC Stoner Building,
The University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT**

PART B: COMMENTS FOR THE INSTITUTION ON THE EXAMINATION PROCESS AND STANDARDS***Matters for Urgent Attention***

If there are any areas which you think require urgent attention before the programme is offered again please note them in this box.

None

Only applicable in first year of appointment

Were you provided with copies of previous relevant External Examiners' reports and the response of the School to these?

N/A

For Examiners completing their term of appointment

Please comment on your experience of the programme(s) over the period of your appointment, remarking in particular on changes from year to year and the progressive development and enhancement of the learning and teaching provision, on standards achieved, on marking and assessment and the procedures of the School.

N/A

Standards

1. Please indicate the extent to which the programme aims and intended learning outcomes (ILOs) were commensurate with the level of the award?

- *The appropriateness of the intended learning outcomes for the programme(s)/modules and of the structure and content of the programme(s);*
- *The extent to which standards are appropriate for the award or award element under consideration.*

The intended learning outcomes were of a standard expected at the postgraduate level both at the module and programme level.

2. Did the aims and ILOs meet the expectations of the national subject benchmark (where relevant)?

- *The comparability of the programme(s) with similar programme(s) at other institutions and against national benchmarks and the Framework for Higher Education Qualifications.*

The aims and ILO's are equivalent to other postgraduate courses in BSL/English Interpreting as well as standards for Conference Interpreting.

3. Please comment on the assessment methods and the appropriateness of these to the ILOs?

- *The design and structure of the assessment methods, and the arrangements for the marking of modules and the classification of awards;*
- *The quality of teaching, learning and assessment methods that may be indicated by student performance.*

The assessment methods are indeed reflective of the expectation for working professional interpreters. The marking is consistent across the programmes and it is clear that the standards for passing are appropriate for entry into the profession. It is obvious that members of the academic staff are highly proficient teachers as well as practitioners who hold themselves and their students to the highest professional standards.

4. Were students given adequate opportunity to demonstrate their achievement of the aims and ILOs?

- *The academic standards demonstrated by the students and, where possible, their performance in relation to students on comparable courses;*
- *The strengths and weaknesses of the students as a cohort.*

Overall the students were of a good standard. For those students who did not pass (either at the module or the programme level) the deficiencies were clear and reasons for not passing were appropriate and clearly articulated. In addition, alternate progression routes (e.g. exit/fall back awards) are clearly mapped out.

5. For Examiners responsible for programmes that include clinical practice components, please comment on the learning and assessment of practice components of the curriculum

N/A

6. The nature and effectiveness of enhancements to the programme(s) and modules since the previous year

It would be particularly helpful if you could also identify areas of good practice which are worthy of wider dissemination.

The use of live interpretation as an assessment is a good reflection of actual working conditions in the profession and can be a good way to ascertain a student's skill set.

7. The influence of research on the curriculum and learning and teaching

This may include examples of curriculum design informed by current research in the subject; practice informed by research; students undertaking research.

The curriculum reflects current work in the field and students are given opportunities to be exposed to those doing cutting edge research.

The Examination Process

8. **The University and its Schools provide guidance for External Examiners as to their roles, powers and responsibilities. Please indicate whether this material was sufficient for you to act effectively as an External Examiner?**

- *Whether external examiners have sufficient access to the material needed to make the required judgements and whether they are encouraged to request additional information.*

The access I was given allowed me to see the range of student performance across the programme.

9. **Did you receive appropriate documentation relating to the programmes and/or parts of programmes for which you have responsibility, e.g. programme specifications or module handbooks?**

- *The coherence of the policies and procedures relating to external examiners and whether they match the explicit roles they are asked to perform.*

The materials I were given were helpful and accurately reflected the programme.

10. **Was sufficient assessed/examination work made available to enable you to have confidence in your evaluation of the standard of student work?**

Yes.

11. **Were the administrative arrangements satisfactory for the whole process, including the operation of the Board of Examiners?**

Yes.

12. **Were appropriate procedures in place to give due consideration to mitigating circumstances and medical evidence?**

Yes. It was clear that staff are aware of how mitigating circumstances can affect student performance and are clearly sensitive to such issues.

For Examiners involved in Mentoring Arrangements

If you have acted as a mentor to a new external examiner or have received mentor support please comment here on the arrangements.

N/A

Other Comments

Please use this box if you wish to make any further comments not covered elsewhere on the form.

The board was run very efficiently and examiner's comments were sought and taken seriously. As was stated at the board, it is a loss to the profession that the MA in BVSL/English interpreting will not continue; it was truly an important course that helped to further the profession. The course team should be commended for its superb work on this programme.

Dear

Thank you very much for your report on the MAIBSL programme and modules for 2010-11. Your positive comments on the teaching and assessment practices are much appreciated.

I take particular note of your comment on the future of BSL at Leeds "As was stated at the board, it is a loss to the profession that the MA in BVSL/English interpreting will not continue; it was truly an important course that helped to further the profession. The course team should be commended for its superb work on this programme." I have passed this on to the Programme Manager.

With kind regards

Dr Peter Howarth
Subject Leader for Translation Studies and MAPLIS