The University of Leeds

EXTERNAL EXAMINER'S REPORT

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2014-2015

Part A: General Information

Subject area and awards being examined

Faculty / School of:	School of Languages, Cultures and Societies
Subject(s):	Thai
Programme(s) / Module(s):	Basic Thai Language and Culture, Intermediate Thai Language and Culture, Advanced Thai Language and Culture
Awards (e.g. BA/BSc/MSc etc):	BA
Name and home Institution / af	filiation of Examiner
Completed report	
The completed report should be a meeting of the Board of Examine	attached to an e-mail and sent as soon as possible, and no later than six weeks after the relevant rs, to exexadmin@leeds.ac.uk .
Alternatively you can post your re	Peport to: Head of Quality Assurance Room 12:81, EC Stoner Building The University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT
Matters for Urgent Attention	e Institution on the Examination Process and Standards think require urgent attention before the programme is offered again please note them in this box
No	
	appointment f previous relevant External Examiners' reports and the response of the School to these?
No	
from year to year and the progres	ir term of appointment ence of the programme(s) over the period of your appointment, remarking in particular on changes ssive development and enhancement of the learning and teaching provision, on standards sment and the procedures of the School

Standards

- Please indicate the extent to which the programme Aims and Intended Learning Outcomes (ILOs) were commensurate with the level of the award
 - The appropriateness of the Intended Learning Outcomes for the programme(s)/modules and of the structure and content of the programme(s);
 - The extent to which standards are appropriate for the award or award element under consideration.

Completely appropriate.

- 2. Did the Aims and ILOs meet the expectations of the national subject benchmark (where relevant)?
 - The comparability of the programme(s) with similar programme(s) at other institutions and against national benchmarks and the Framework for Higher Education Qualifications.

Yes, the programme is of comparable standard to that of SOAS, the only other UK institution teaching Thai.

- 3. Please comment on the assessment methods and the appropriateness of these to the ILOs
 - The design and structure of the assessment methods, and the arrangements for the marking of modules and the classification of awards;
 - The quality of teaching, learning and assessment methods that may be indicated by student performance.

The exam comprised a range of activities which tested key language skills. Assessments for marking and classification were clear.

- 4. Were students given adequate opportunity to demonstrate their achievement of the Aims and ILOs?
 - The academic standards demonstrated by the students and, where possible, their performance in relation to students on comparable courses:
 - The strengths and weaknesses of the students as a cohort.

The exam papers were designed to test key language skills at different levels, therefore students had opportunities to demonstrate their language competencies accordingly. There was a range of levels attained by different students, as would be expected. The papers suggested that there had been a good level of teaching, learning and assessment.

5. For Examiners responsible for programmes that include clinical practice components, please comment on the learning and assessment of practice components of the curriculum

n/a

6. Please comment on the nature and effectiveness of enhancements to the programme(s) and modules since the previous year

It would be particularly helpful if you could also identify areas of good practice which are worthy of wider dissemination.

The way the exam papers was designed was admirable because it allowed students to demonstrate all of the key skills for language learning

7. Please comment on the influence of research on the curriculum and learning and teaching

This may include examples of curriculum design informed by current research in the subject; practice informed by research; students undertaking research.

n/a

Where the programme forms part of an Integrated PhD, please comment on the appropriateness of the programme as training for a PhD

n/a

For Examiners involved in mentoring arrangements

9. If you have acted as a mentor to a new External Examiner or have received mentor support please comment here on the arrangements

The Examination/Assessment Process

10. The University and its Schools provide guidance for External Examiners as to their roles, powers and responsibilities. Please indicate whether this material was sufficient for you to act effectively as an External Examiner.

Whether External Examiners have sufficient access to the material needed to make the required judgements and whether they are encouraged to request additional information.

V Ti	Did you receive appropriate documentation relating to the programmes and/or parts of programmes for which you have responsibility, e.g. programme specifications or module handbooks, marking criteria? The coherence of the policies and procedures relating to External Examiners and whether they match the explicit roles they are sked to perform.
	Yes.
	Vere you provided with all draft examination papers/assessments? Was the nature and level of the questions appropriate? If not, were suitable arrangements made to consider your comments?
	Yes, the exam papers were well designed to test students' language competencies at different levels.
	Nas sufficient assessed / examined work made available to enable you to have confidence in your evaluation of the standard of student work? Were the scripts clearly marked/annotated?
	Yes.
	Nas the choice of subjects for dissertations appropriate? Was the method and standard of assessment appropriate?
	Yes.
E	Were the administrative arrangements satisfactory for the whole process, including the operation of the Board of Examiners? Were you able to attend the meeting? Were you satisfied with the recommendations of the Board?
	The time between the delivery of scripts and the request for results was tight but manageable. I could not attend the meeting because I had other work commitments.
	Vere appropriate procedures in place to give due consideration to mitigating circumstances and medical evidence?
	n/a
Othe	r comments
Plea	se use this box if you wish to make any further comments not covered elsewhere on the form
	so decension and in your monitor make any randor commence not consider a local monitor and in the remaining

School of Languages, Cultures and Societies

FACULTY OF ARTS



25 April 2016

Dear

Response to External Examiner's Report, 2014-2015

Many thanks for your Examiner's Report for the academic year 2014-2015.

I was very pleased to note your comments about the appropriateness of standards, the well-designed exam papers, that standards in our programmes are comparable to similar programmes at SOAS, the good level of teaching, learning and assessment evident.

It only remains for me to thank you very much for all your input to our Thai language modules this year.

With thanks and very best wishes